







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/698,357	10/27/2000	Benjamin Pless	003-006C1	3469	
32746	7590 10/29/2003		EXAMINER		
HOEKENDIJK & LYNCH, LLP			PEFFLEY, M	PEFFLEY, MICHAEL F	
P.O. BOX 4787 BURLINGAME, CA 94011-4787			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3739		
			DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003	3 /5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{N}	<
	Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)	Ì
, s	09	/698,357	PLESS ET AL.	
Office Action Sum	mary Ex	aminer	Art Unit	
:	Mid	chael Peffley	3739	
	communication appears	on the cov rsh t with th	correspondenc address	
Period for Reply			(C) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the period for reply specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply in the set or extended period for reply in the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFF	OMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). that thirty (30) days, a reply within maximum statutory period will appriod for reply will, by statute, causine months after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a reply be tirent the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day by and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication	ation(s) filed on <u>15 Sept</u> e	ember 2003 .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This ac	tion is non-final.		
			rosecution as to the merits is	
Disposition of Claims	i tile practice under Ex p	arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	433 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>115-129</u> is/are pe	ending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	is/are withdrawn fr	om consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allow	ved.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>115-129</u> is/are rej	ected.		•	
7) Claim(s) is/are object	cted to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject	t to restriction and/or ele	ction requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected	d to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	, ,			
	• •	wing(s) be held in abeyance. S	• • •	
11) The proposed drawing corre			oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawi				
12) The oath or declaration is of		ier.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and) ()) ()	
13) Acknowledgment is made		onty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(8	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ 1				
<u> </u>	e priority documents ha			
<u> </u>		ve been received in Applicat		
	the International Bureau		•	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for domestic pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the f				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/698,357

Art Unit: 3739

Applicant's response of September 15, 2003 has been fully considered by the examiner. In particular, the examiner appreciates applicant's submission of the claims from closely related pending applications. Applicant's terminal disclaimer of US — Application No. 09/699,215 has been received and is acceptable. Upon further review of the submitted related claims, the examiner is of the opinion that a terminal disclaimer for another application is also required as detailed below.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 115-129 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 140-147 of copending Application No. 09/614,991. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant

Application/Control Number: 09/698,357

Art Unit: 3739

application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a method of ablating cardiac tissue using ultrasound energy having first and second frequencies.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Peffley whose telephone number is (703) 308-4305. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 6am-3pm.

Art Unit: 3739

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3739

mp October 29, 2003